UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM SD SPECIALIZED DISCLOSURE REPORT

Ashland Global Holdings Inc.

DELAWARE	333-211719	81-2587835
(State or other jurisdiction of	(Commission	(IRS Employer
incorporation or organization)	File Number)	Identification No.)

50 E. RiverCenter Boulevard Covington, KY 41011 (Address of principal executive offices)

Peter J. Ganz
(859-815-3333)
(Name and telephone number, including area code, of the person to contact in connection with this report.)

Check the appropriate box to indicate the rule pursuant to which this form is being filed and provide the period to which the information in this form applies:

Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13p-1) for the reporting period from January 1 to December 31, 2018.

ITEM 1.01 - Conflicts Minerals Disclosure and Report

This Form SD of Ashland Global Holdings Inc. (the "Company") is filed pursuant to Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for the reporting period from January 1 through December 31, 2018.

The brief description of the Company's reasonable country of origin inquiry ("RCOI") process, the results of its inquiry, and the determination it reached as a result of its RCOI process are included in the Company's Conflict Minerals Report attached as an exhibit to this Form SD.

A copy of the Company's Conflict Minerals Report is filed as Exhibit 1.01 to this Form SD, and is publicly available at http://investor.ashland.com/sec.cfm. The content of any website referred to in this Form SD is included for general information only and is not incorporated by reference in this Form SD.

ITEM 1.02 - Exhibit

A copy of the Company's Conflict Minerals Report as required by Item 1.01 is filed as Exhibit 1.01 hereto.

Item 2.01 Exhibits

The following exhibit is filed as part of this report.

Exhibit

Number Description of Exhibit

1.01 <u>Conflict Minerals Report as required by Items 1.01 and 1.02 of this Form SD.</u>

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the duly authorized undersigned.

ASHLAND GLOBAL HOLDINGS INC.

(Registrant)

May 30, 2019

/s/ Peter J. Ganz

Peter J. Ganz Senior Vice President, General Counsel,

and Secretary

Ashland Global Holdings Inc.

Conflict Minerals Report For the Reporting Period from January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018

1. Introduction

This Conflict Minerals Report (this "Report") of Ashland Global Holdings Inc. ("Ashland," "we," "us," "our," or the "Company") is filed as an exhibit to Form SD as required by Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), for the reporting period from January 1 through December 31, 2018. This Report refers to Section 13(p) of the Exchange Act, which was added by Section 1502 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 ("Dodd-Frank"), Rule 13p-1 of the Exchange Act and Form SD, collectively, the "conflict minerals rule." Certain terms in this Report are defined in the conflict minerals rule and the reader is referred to that source and to SEC Release No. 34-67716 issued on August 22, 2012 (the "SEC Release") for such definitions.

The conflict minerals rule was adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") pursuant to Dodd-Frank. The conflict minerals rule requires public companies that manufacture or contract to manufacture products that contain "conflict minerals" that are necessary to the functionality or production of those products to disclose certain information annually about the source and origin of "conflict minerals" originating in the "Covered Countries." The "conflict minerals" are gold, columbite-tantalite (coltan), cassiterite, and wolframite (including their derivatives, tantalum, tin and tungsten). The "Covered Countries" are the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the Republic of the Congo, the Central African Republic, South Sudan, Uganda, Rwanda, Burundi, Tanzania, Zambia and Angola.

For the reporting period that is the subject of this Report, Ashland conducted a review of its products and found that small quantities of tin are found in certain of its product lines. The affected product lines represent only a small portion of the Company's business. After conducting a reasonable country of origin inquiry ("RCOI"), described below, the Company knows or has reason to believe that some of its products manufactured or contracted for manufacture contain necessary conflict minerals that originated or may have originated in the Covered Countries and that they are not or may not be from recycled or scrap sources. Therefore, the Company conducted due diligence on the source and chain of custody of those necessary conflict minerals in its products for the 2018 calendar year. "Necessary conflict minerals" include those conflict minerals that are necessary to the functionality or production of a product that is manufactured by the Company or contracted to be manufactured by the Company.

Based on existing guidance from the SEC, the Company is not required to obtain an independent private sector audit report for this Report.

2. Company Overview

Ashland is a premier global specialty chemicals company serving customers in a wide range of consumer and industrial markets, including adhesives, architectural coatings, automotive, construction, energy, food and beverage, nutraceuticals, personal care and pharmaceutical. Ashland provides products, services and solutions that meet customers' needs throughout a variety of industries in more than 100 countries.

Ashland's supply chain is complex, and there are many indirect suppliers in the supply chain between it and the mines or locations of origin of its necessary conflict minerals. The Company does not purchase any conflict minerals directly from mines, smelters or refiners. Therefore, it must rely on its direct and indirect suppliers, including distributors, to provide information about the source and chain of custody of its necessary conflict minerals.

In the past, Ashland has included products and materials containing tin compounds as in-scope for purposes of the conflict minerals rule. There has been much discussion as to whether such compounds are within the scope of the conflict minerals rule. Certain SEC comments in the SEC Release, language from SEC reply briefs in the legal challenge to the conflict minerals rule, and the posting by the SEC of a letter drafted by counsel to certain industry groups memorializing a conversation with the SEC on this topic suggest that these organic compounds are not within the scope of the conflict minerals rule. Based on this guidance, and as many companies have done, Ashland has now decided to no longer treat products or materials containing tin compounds as in-scope for purposes of the conflict minerals rule.

3. Reasonable Country of Origin Inquiry

For the Company and all of its consolidated subsidiaries and affiliates, the Environmental, Health and Safety department ("EH&S") identified the Company's products that contained conflict minerals or were reasonably likely to contain conflict minerals by reviewing and confirming the accuracy of data obtained for calendar year 2017, and updating it to include any new products and materials manufactured or purchased in calendar year 2018. After collecting this data, EH&S identified the entity that supplied the product or material containing, or reasonably likely containing, the necessary conflict minerals. EH&S and the Company's Supply Chain department ("Supply Chain") then identified the products containing necessary conflict minerals that Ashland manufactured or contracted for manufacture during calendar year 2018.

The Company determined that tin was the only necessary conflict mineral contained in any of the Company's products in calendar year 2018. EH&S used the Company's enterprise resource planning ("ERP") system to collect this data. Supply Chain also reviewed the material and supplier provided by EH&S and queried the Company's data warehouse and ERP system to confirm the applicable supplier and verify the data provided by EH&S. This resulted in a list of only one applicable supplier who provided materials containing necessary tin, or that were reasonably likely to contain necessary tin, for the Company's products that were completed in calendar year 2018.

To perform the RCOI regarding the necessary tin, the Company surveyed the one applicable supplier of tin and attempted to obtain supporting documentation from it to verify the origin of the tin it supplied to Ashland. The Company used the Conflict Minerals Reporting Template (the

"CMRT"), revision 5.11, developed by the Responsible Minerals Initiative (the "RMI"), formerly the Conflict-Free Sourcing Initiative, for its questionnaire to the supplier. This questionnaire requested information the Company needed to complete the RCOI and the due diligence discussed in more detail below. The Company, primarily Legal, but with some assistance of outside counsel, reviewed and analyzed the response from the supplier against its ERP data to determine the response was complete.

Based on the supplier's response, the Company knows or has reason to believe that some of the products it manufactured or contracted to manufacture during the reporting period that is the subject of this Report contain necessary conflict minerals that originated or may have originated in the Covered Countries and knows or has reason to believe that those necessary conflict minerals may not be from recycled or scrap sources. Accordingly, the Company performed due diligence in an effort to determine the source and chain of custody of these necessary conflict minerals.

4. Due Diligence

Design of Due Diligence

As required by the conflict minerals rule, the Company's due diligence measures were designed to conform, in all material respects, with the due diligence framework set forth in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas, and the related supplement for tin, tantalum and tungsten, Third Edition, 2016 ("OECD Guidance").

Due Diligence Measures Performed

OECD Step 1: Establish and maintain strong company management systems:

Ashland took a number of the steps described in the OECD Guidance to maintain strong company management systems. The Company Supplier Code of Conduct includes a conflict minerals policy, which states that Ashland will work with suppliers and will strive to ensure that any conflict minerals contained in the Company's products come from conflict-free sources. Additionally, the policy requires suppliers to provide information about their use of conflict minerals in products sold to the Company. The Supplier Code of Conduct is publicly available on our website in multiple languages at http://www.ashland.com/suppliers-and-vendors/supplier-code-of-conduct. The content of any website referred to in this Report is included for general information only and is not incorporated by reference in this Report.

The Company also has a working group for conflict minerals compliance (the "Working Group"), comprised of individuals from EH&S, Legal, the Internal Audit department ("Internal Audit") and Supply Chain. The Working Group consulted outside counsel regarding the requirements of the conflict minerals rule. The Working Group, primarily through Supply Chain, was responsible for performing the due diligence. Members of the Working Group provided periodic updates to senior management regarding the status of due diligence efforts.

In addition to the Supplier Code of Conduct discussed above, the Company communicated directly with its applicable supplier and requested that it cooperate with its efforts to identify the source and chain of custody of the necessary conflict minerals by completing the CMRT.

The Company retains relevant supplier response documentation for no less than five years in accordance with the OECD Guidance.

OECD Step 2: Identify and assess risks in supply chain:

The Company has communicated with its applicable supplier about the conflict minerals rule, provided a description of the conflict minerals rule, listed a link to training and videos where the supplier could obtain more information and requested that it complete revision 5.11 of the CMRT. The Working Group enforced the CMRT as the method to gather information about the Company's supply chain.

The Company received a response from the one applicable supplier that it surveyed as part of its due diligence, and such supplier indicated that it supplied products that contained conflict minerals.

OECD Step 3: Design and implement a strategy to respond to identified risks:

The Working Group discussed the status of the due diligence and actions to mitigate supply chain risks, if any were identified. Working Group members periodically provided updates of the results of the Company's due diligence to senior management.

Another step taken to manage risks was to gather information on conflict minerals from suppliers as part of the supplier on-boarding process. New suppliers filled out a survey and provided compositional information for their products. A question on that survey specifically asks whether conflict minerals are included in the product or material. Once that information is gathered, there is a flag set up in the ERP system that prompts the user to send a notification to the EH&S team if a product or material being ordered contains a conflict mineral. Although this has not occurred yet, the Company's policy is that if it does occur, the Company would send the CMRT to the new supplier to inquire about the source and chain of custody of the conflict minerals. The Company will then only proceed with the order if a complete and consistent survey is provided by the new supplier. There have been no new suppliers that supply products containing necessary conflict minerals to the Company since the system was implemented. Suppliers who were on-boarded before the Company started this process would not be caught by the flag in the ERP system.

Internal Audit developed a plan to review Ashland's ERP system to confirm the conflict minerals rule set detects when conflict minerals are introduced into the ERP system. The rule set maintains the current list of conflict minerals and runs them against any new product or material entered into the ERP system. Internal Audit conducted its annual review to confirm the effectiveness of the rule set for calendar year 2018.

OECD Step 4: Carry out independent third-party audit of smelter's/refiner's due diligence practices:

The Company does not have direct relationships with smelters or refiners of conflict minerals and it does not carry out audits of these facilities. However, the Company supports audits conducted by third-parties through its membership in the RMI (membership code: ASHL) and by urging its suppliers to complete the CMRT, which would require them to gather information from their suppliers. The Company relies on industry efforts to influence smelters and refiners to get audited and become certified through the RMAP. The data on which the Company relied for certain statements made in this Report was obtained through its membership in the RMI.

OECD Step 5: Report annually on supply chain due diligence:

We report on our conflict minerals due diligence annually by making our Form SD and this Report publicly available on the Company's website at http://investor.ashland.com/sec.cfm. Also, our Form SD (including this Report) is filed with the SEC.

5. Results of Review

Facilities used to process the necessary conflict minerals

We received a completed CMRT response from the one applicable supplier to which we sent an inquiry. That supplier's completed CMRT indicated that at least certain of its products contained trace elements of tin.

In response to our inquiry, our one applicable supplier named ten (10) smelters of the tin in its products and confirmed that its smelter information was complete. Therefore, based on that supplier's information, we believe that one or more of the smelters listed on Annex I processed the necessary tin in our products.

Countries of origin of the Company's necessary conflict minerals

Based on the response from our applicable direct supplier and the country of origin information provided by RMI to its members about RMAP conformant smelters, possible countries of origin of the necessary tin in our products include the following: Bolivia, Brazil, Indonesia, Malaysia and Peru.

Efforts to determine the conflict minerals' mine or location of origin

The Company has determined that the most reasonable effort it can make to determine the mines or locations of origin of its necessary conflict minerals with the greatest possible specificity is to seek information from its direct suppliers about the smelters and refiners in its supply chain and to urge its suppliers to do the same with their direct suppliers. This was completed by requesting that our applicable supplier complete the CMRT. The Company was unable to gather the necessary information from this applicable supplier to determine the mine or location of origin of its necessary conflict minerals.

6. Due Diligence Limitations

As previously mentioned, the Company does not purchase any conflict minerals directly from mines, smelters or refiners. Due to its position in the supply chain, the Company must rely on its direct and indirect suppliers, including distributors, to provide information about the source and chain of custody of its necessary conflict minerals. Furthermore, the Company must rely on independent third-party audits of smelters and refiners as it does not carry out its own audit of these facilities. These third-party representations and audits may prove to be incorrect or based on fraud. Accordingly, the Company can only provide reasonable, and not absolute, assurance regarding the source and chain of custody of its necessary conflict minerals.

7. Steps Taken and Being Taken to Mitigate Risk and Improve Due Diligence Measures

Since January 2018, the Company has taken or currently expects to take the following steps to mitigate the risk that its necessary conflict minerals benefit or finance armed groups and to improve the results of its due diligence measures. In addition to these extra steps set forth below, the Company is continuing to engage in the activities described above in "Due Diligence — *Due Diligence Measures Performed*" and intends to complete a memorandum documenting the due diligence steps taken for calendar year 2018 conflict minerals reporting.

As a result of the training already provided to internal Legal, EH&S and Supply Chain personnel, we expect that they will continue to be available to respond to questions from employees and third-parties about the Company's expectations for conflict minerals compliance. Ashland will continue to train Legal, EH&S and Supply Chain personnel and the Working Group on the conflict minerals rule and best practices for ensuring compliance with the OECD Guidance. In calendar year 2018, members of the Working Group received updates from the RMI and kept up with articles discussing the status of the conflict minerals rule and best practices.

The Company currently intends to continue to collect product and material compositional information through its ERP system and will flag products or materials containing conflict minerals so that it can collect necessary information from suppliers prior to those materials being integrated into Ashland products.

8. Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Ashland has identified some of these forward-looking statements with words such as "anticipates," "believes," "expects," "estimates," "is likely," "predicts," "projects," "forecasts," "objectives," "may," "will," "should," "plans" and "intends" and the negative of these words or other comparable terminology. Ashland may from time to time make forward-looking statements in its annual reports, quarterly reports and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), news releases and other written and oral communications. These forward-looking statements are based on Ashland's expectations and assumptions, as of the date such statements are made, regarding Ashland's future operating performance and financial condition, as well as the economy and other future events or circumstances.

Ashland's expectations and assumptions include, without limitation, internal forecasts and analyses of current and future market conditions and trends, management plans and strategies, operating efficiencies and economic conditions (such as prices, supply and demand, cost of raw materials, and the ability to recover raw-material cost increases through price increases), and risks and uncertainties associated with the following: the program to eliminate certain existing corporate and Specialty Ingredients expenses (including the possibility that such cost eliminations may not occur or may take longer to implement than anticipated), the expected divestiture of its Composites segment and the Marl BDO facility, and related merchant I&S products (including, in each case, the possibility that a transaction may not occur or that, if a transaction does occur, Ashland may not realize the anticipated benefits from such transaction), the impact of acquisitions and/or

divestitures Ashland has made or may make (including the possibility that Ashland may not realize the anticipated benefits from such transactions); Ashland's substantial indebtedness (including the possibility that such indebtedness and related restrictive covenants may adversely affect Ashland's future cash flows, results of operations, financial condition and its ability to repay debt); severe weather, natural disasters, cyber events and legal proceedings and claims (including product recalls, environmental and asbestos matters); and without limitation, risks and uncertainties affecting Ashland that are described in Ashland's most recent Form 10-K (including Item 1A Risk Factors) filed with the SEC, which is available on Ashland's website at http://investor.ashland.com or on the SEC's website at http://investor.ashland.com or on the secondary or on the secondary or on the secondar

 $\underline{\textbf{Annex I}}$ Facilities that processed the necessary tin contained in our products are among the smelters listed below

Metal	Smelter	Smelter Identification
Tin	Malaysia Smelting Corporation (MSC)	CID001105
Tin	Mineracao Taboca S.A.	CID001173
Tin	Minsur	CID001182
Tin	Operaciones Metalurgicas S.A.	CID001337
Tin	PT DS Jaya Abadi	CID001434
Tin	PT Karimun Mining	CID001448
Tin	PT Refined Bangka Tin	CID001460
Tin	PT Stanindo Inti Perkasa	CID001468
Tin	PT Tommy Utama	CID001493
Tin	PT Bangka Prima Tin	CID002776